# 2018-2019 School Progress Report

# Philadelphia Performing Arts: A String Theory Charter School

School Code Sector Address Phone/Fax Website	W36 Charter 2600 S. Broad St 215-551-4000 / www.stringtheo	215-551-1113		Report Type Grades in Rep Enrollment Admissions Ca Turnaround N	oort ategory	HS 9-12 633 Citywidd N/A	e With Criteria
Performance	_		- 400()				
INTERVENE	(0-24%)	WATCH (25	5-49%)	REINFORCE (50	J-74%)		MODEL (75-100%)
			2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-	2019	3-YEAR AVG
OVERALL: R A school's overall sc performance on the and College & Caree	ore represents its Achievement, Pro	combined gress, Climate,	52%	64%	69	9%	61.7%
Achievement: M The Achievement do standardized assess Exams, ACCESS for E	omain measures pe ments, including P	SSA, Keystone	23%	33%	40	3%	32.0%
Progress: MODE The Progress domai standardized assess graduation (for high	n measures growth ments and progres		60%	98%	92	1%	83.0%
Climate: REINFORCE (73%) The Climate domain measures school climate as well as student and parent/guardian engagement.		74%	72%	73	3%	73.0%	
College & Caree The College & Caree career readiness and	r domain measure	s college and	55% •	55%	66	3%	56.7%

### Achievement

METRIC	METRIC SCORE	POINTS EARNED	TIER
Keystone Exam - Algebra I			
% Proficient or Advanced	36%	1.58 out of 8.00	INTERVENE
	(137 students)	(20%)	
Grade 9 -	36%		
% Proficient or Advanced	(136 students)		
% Advanced	15%	0.88 out of 1.50	REINFORCE
	(137 students)	(58%)	
Keystone Exam - Biology			
% Proficient or Advanced	52%	3.24 out of 8.00	WATCH
	(126 students)	(40%)	
% Advanced	18%	1.10 out of 1.50	REINFORCE
	(126 students)	(73%)	NEITH ONCE
eystone Exam - Literature			
% Proficient or Advanced	61%	4.05 out of 8.00	REINFORCE
	(157 students)	(51%)	
% Advanced	7%	0.42 out of 1.50	WATCH
	(157 students)	(28%)	
CCESS for ELLs: 6 4.5 or Above			INSUFFICIENT SAMPLE

Achievement Total: % of Points Earned 40%

11.26 out of 28.50

WATCH

# Progress

METRIC	METRIC SCORE	POINTS EARNED	TIER
Keystone Exam - Algebra I: Average Growth Index (AGI)	4.61	6.50 out of 6.50 (100%)	MODEL
Keystone Exam - Biology: Average Growth Index (AGI)	3.00	6.50 out of 6.50 (100%)	MODEL
Keystone Exam - Literature: Average Growth Index (AGI)	2.44	6.50 out of 6.50 (100%)	MODEL
% of On-Track Students Earning Credits Required For Promotion	<b>96%</b> (425 students)	6.93 out of 8.00 (87%)	MODEL
% of Off-Track Students Earning Credits Required For Promotion	<b>87%</b> (38 students)	5.62 out of 6.00 (94%)	MODEL
ACCESS for ELLs: % of Students Meeting Growth Target			DATA NOT AVAILABLE

# **Progress, On Equity**

D	Progress Total:	91%	34.73 out of 38.00	MODEL
Keystone Exam AGI for Lowest- Students	- Literature: -Performing 33% of	-0.13	0.44 out of 1.50 (29%)	WATCH
Keystone Exam AGI for Lowest- Students	- Biology: -Performing 33% of	1.50	1.25 out of 1.50 (83%)	MODEL
Keystone Exam AGI for Lowest- Students	- Algebra I: -Performing 33% of	0.99	1.00 out of 1.50 (66%)	REINFORCE

# Climate

METRIC	METRIC SCORE	POINTS EARNED	TIER
% of Students Attending 95% or More of Instructional Days	<b>40%</b> (656 students)	3.21 out of 8.00 (40%)	WATCH
% Attending 90-95% of Days	37% (656 students)		
% Attending 85-90% of Days	13% (656 students)		
% Attending 80-85% of Days	5% (656 students)		
% Attending Less Than 80% of Days	5% (656 students)		
Annual Retention Rate	<b>100%</b> (616 students)	6.00 out of 6.00 (100%)	MODEL
% of Students with Zero In-School Suspensions	<b>100%</b> (656 students)	0.50 out of 0.50 (100%)	MODEL
% of Students with Zero Out-Of-School Suspensions	<b>100%</b> (656 students)	2.46 out of 2.50 (98%)	MODEL
Student Survey: School Climate Rating % of most positive responses)	53%	0.53 out of 1.00 (53%)	REINFORCE
Parent Survey: School Climate Rating % of most positive responses)	80%	0.80 out of 1.00 (80%)	MODEL
Parent/Guardian Survey: Participation Rate	52%	1.00 out of 1.00 (100%)	MODEL
Climate Total: % of Points Earned	73%	14.50 out of 20.00	REINFORCE

METRIC	METRIC SCORE	POINTS EARNED	TIER
Four-Year Cohort Graduation Rate	<b>94%</b> (160 students)	3.38 out of 4.50 (75%)	MODEL
First-Fall College Matriculation Rate	68% (159 students)	1.17 out of 1.50 (78%)	MODEL
AP, IB, & NOCTI Exams and Dual Enrollment Participation and Performance	<b>19%</b> (158 students)	0.22 out of 1.00 (22%)	INTERVENE
% Participating Not Meeting Threshold	<b>8%</b> (158 students)		
% Not Participating	<b>73%</b> (158 students)		
SAT & ACT Exams Participation and Performance	<b>13%</b> (158 students)	0.08 out of 1.00 (8%)	INTERVENE
% Participating Not Meeting Threshold	<b>57%</b> (158 students)		
% Not Participating	30% (158 students)		
FAFSA Completion Rate	<b>74%</b> (158 students)	0.98 out of 1.00 (98%)	MODEL
Student Survey: College & Career Readiness Rating (% of most positive responses)	21%	0.21 out of 1.00 (21%)	INTERVENE
College & Career Total:	60%	6.04 out of 10.00	REINFORCE

% of Points Earned

### **Educator Effectiveness**

Teacher effectiveness measures are displayed in the School Progress Report, but not included in the SPR rating, to share data we have gathered to monitor and support teacher practice. This information is also used to develop responsive and customized professional learning for teachers to ensure that all students have access to great instruction.

In addition to other metrics, the SPR includes teachers' overall Multiple Measure Summary (MMS) effectiveness ratings. These ratings are comprised of teachers' Formal Observation, Student Learning Objectives, Teacher-Specific PVAAS, and the building-level score from the state of Pennsylvania's School Performance Profile (SPP, also known as Act 82).

Here are some important details that contextualize the teacher effectiveness data reported in the SPR.

- Teachers in a formal observation year who fail to receive a required observation receive a default observation score of 2 (Proficient) for any missing observation data, which may inflate the overall scores.
- Until District-wide inter-rater reliability norming is held for all principals, observation scores may vary significantly across schools and observers. As a result, comparisons of scores between schools may not be meaningful.
- The District's observation tool evaluates 10 components of the Danielson Framework for Teaching. Therefore, comparing SDP's observation scores to scores evaluated against all 22 components of the Danielson Framework may not be meaningful.

### EDUCATOR EFFECTIVENESS INDICATORS

% of Teachers Receiving an MMS Rating of Distinguished	DATA NOT AVAILABLE
% of Teachers Receiving an MMS Rating of Proficient	DATA NOT AVAILABLE
% of Teachers Attending 95% or More of Days	DATA NOT AVAILABLE
Student Survey: Student Perception of Quality of Teacher Practice (% of most positive responses)	48%

#### FOR MORE INFORMATION

More information about the School Progress Reports, including the User Guide, Public Business Rules, and FAQ, is available at philasd.org/spr.

#### NOTES ABOUT ROUNDING

All calculated values in the SPR (metric scores, percent of points earned, and points earned) are calculated using unrounded values; however, displayed values may differ slightly due to rounding for publication purposes.

### UNDERSTANDING DUAL REPORTS

Schools that serve multiple grade spans receive separate SPRs for each grade span (for example, a school serving grades 6-12 receives a Middle School Report and a High School Report). The grades included in a particular report are displayed on the top right of the cover page.