# 2018-2019 School Progress Report

# **Antonia Pantoja Charter School**

School Code	W95	Report Type	K8
Sector	Charter	Grades in Report	K-8
Address	4101 N. American St., 19140	Enrollment	715
Phone/Fax	215-329-2733 / 215-329-2433	Admissions Category	Citywide
Website	pantojacharter.org/	Turnaround Model	N/A

## Performance Tiers Legend

INTERVENE (0-24%) WATCH (25-49%) REINFORCE (50-74%) MODEL (75-100%)

2016-2017 2017-2018 2018-2019 3-YEAR AVG

# **OVERALL: REINFORCE (61%)**

A school's overall score represents its combined performance on the Achievement, Progress, Climate, and College & Career (for high schools only) domains.




## **Achievement: INTERVENE (24%)**

The Achievement domain measures performance on standardized assessments, including PSSA, Keystone Exams, ACCESS for ELLs, and reading assessments.



### Progress: MODEL (79%)

The Progress domain measures growth on standardized assessments and progress towards graduation (for high schools only).



# Climate: MODEL (75%)

The Climate domain measures school climate as well as student and parent/guardian engagement.



77.7%

Achievement			
METRIC	METRIC SCORE	POINTS EARNED	TIER
% Reading at Grade Level- Grades K-2	<b>32%</b> (261 students)	0.43 out of 3.00 (14%)	INTERVENE
PSSA: English Language Arts	47%	3.00 out of 9.00	WATCH
% Proficient or Advanced	(441 students)	(33%)	
Grade 3 - % Proficient or Advanced	41% (92 students)		
Grade 4 - % Proficient or Advanced	53% (86 students)		
Grade 5 - % Proficient or Advanced	44% (71 students)		
Grade 6 - % Proficient or Advanced	56% (57 students)		
Grade 7 - % Proficient or Advanced	49% (63 students)		
Grade 8 - % Proficient or Advanced	39% (72 students)		
% Advanced	<b>11%</b> (441 students)	0.87 out of 2.00 (44%)	WATCH
ACCESS for ELLs: % 4.5 or Above	4% (89 students)	0.00 out of 1.50 (0%)	INTERVENE

ETRIC	METRIC SCORE	POINTS EARNED	TIER
SA: Mathematics	250/	0.64000	INITED/ENE
% Proficient or Advanced	<b>25%</b> (444 students)	0.61 out of 9.00	INTERVENE
70 Frontierit of Advanced	(444 students)	(7%)	
Grade 3 -	32%		
% Proficient or Advanced	(92 students)		
Grade 4 -	200/		
% Proficient or Advanced	28% (87 students)		
	(67 364461163)		
Grade 5 -	35%		
% Proficient or Advanced	(71 students)		
Cuada C			
Grade 6 - % Proficient or Advanced	21%		
	(57 students)		
Grade 7 -	18%		
% Proficient or Advanced	(65 students)		
	,		
Grade 8 -	15%		
% Proficient or Advanced	(72 students)		
% Advanced	5%	0.41 out of 2.00	INTERVENE
	(444 students)	(21%)	HATERVEINE
SA: Science	===/	4.07	
% Proficient or Advanced	57%	1.37 out of 3.00	WATCH
70 Froncient of Advanced	(159 students)	(46%)	
Grade 4 -	69%		
% Proficient or Advanced	(87 students)		
Grade 8 -			
% Proficient or Advanced	42% (72 students)		
	(72 Students)		
% Advanced	21%	0.43 out of 0.50	MODEL
	(159 students)	(86%)	
Achievement Total:	240/	7.12 20.00	INITED\/ENIE
% of Points Earned	<b>24%</b>	7.13 out of 30.00	INTERVENE

METRIC	METRIC SCORE	DOINTS FARNER	7150
VIETRIC	METRIC SCORE	POINTS EARNED	TIER
PSSA Mathematics: Average Growth Index (AGI)	3.92	12.00 out of 12.00 (100%)	MODEL
PSSA English Language Arts: Average Growth Index (AGI)	0.66	6.64 out of 12.00 (55%)	REINFORCE
PSSA Science (Grade 4): Average Growth Index (AGI)	4.72	2.50 out of 2.50 (100%)	MODEL
PSSA Science (Grade 8): Average Growth Index (AGI)	1.96	2.47 out of 2.50 (99%)	MODEL
ACCESS for ELLs: % of Students Meeting Growth Target			DATA NOT AVAILABL

# **Progress, On Equity**

PSSA Mathematics: AGI for Lowest-Performing 33% of Students	2.82	4.00 out of 4.00 (100%)	MODEL
PSSA English Language Arts: AGI for Lowest-Performing 33% of Students	0.18	1.57 out of 4.00 (39%)	WATCH
Progress Total: % of Points Earned	<b>79</b> %	29.18 out of 37.00	MODEL

Climate			
METRIC	METRIC SCORE	POINTS EARNED	TIER
% of Students Attending 95% or More of Instructional Days	55% (721 students)	6.54 out of 12.00 (54%)	REINFORCE
% Attending 90-95% of Days	30% (721 students)		
% Attending 85-90% of Days	9% (721 students)		
% Attending 80-85% of Days	4% (721 students)		
% Attending Less Than 80% of Days	2% (721 students)		
Annual Retention Rate	98% (691 students)	10.00 out of 10.00 (100%)	MODEL
% of Students with Zero In-School Suspensions	100% (721 students)	1.00 out of 1.00 (100%)	MODEL
% of Students with Zero Out-Of-School Suspensions	97% (721 students)	3.42 out of 4.00 (85%)	MODEL
Student Survey: School Climate Rating (% of most positive responses)	61%	0.61 out of 1.00 (61%)	REINFORCE
Parent Survey: School Climate Rating (% of most positive responses)	88%	0.88 out of 1.00 (88%)	MODEL
Parent/Guardian Survey: Participation Rate	13%	0.08 out of 1.00 (8%)	INTERVENE
Climate Total: % of Points Earned	<b>75</b> %	22.52 out of 30.00	MODEL

## **Educator Effectiveness**

Teacher effectiveness measures are displayed in the School Progress Report, but not included in the SPR rating, to share data we have gathered to monitor and support teacher practice. This information is also used to develop responsive and customized professional learning for teachers to ensure that all students have access to great instruction.

In addition to other metrics, the SPR includes teachers' overall Multiple Measure Summary (MMS) effectiveness ratings. These ratings are comprised of teachers' Formal Observation, Student Learning Objectives, Teacher-Specific PVAAS, and the building-level score from the state of Pennsylvania's School Performance Profile (SPP, also known as Act 82).

Here are some important details that contextualize the teacher effectiveness data reported in the SPR.

- Teachers in a formal observation year who fail to receive a required observation receive a default observation score of 2
   (Proficient) for any missing observation data, which may inflate the overall scores.
- Until District-wide inter-rater reliability norming is held for all principals, observation scores may vary significantly across schools and observers. As a result, comparisons of scores between schools may not be meaningful.
- The District's observation tool evaluates 10 components of the Danielson Framework for Teaching. Therefore, comparing SDP's observation scores to scores evaluated against all 22 components of the Danielson Framework may not be meaningful.

### **EDUCATOR EFFECTIVENESS INDICATORS**

% of Teachers Receiving an MMS Rating of Distinguished	DATA NOT AVAILABLE
% of Teachers Receiving an MMS Rating of Proficient	DATA NOT AVAILABLE
% of Teachers Attending 95% or More of Days	DATA NOT AVAILABLE
Student Survey: Student Perception of Quality of Teacher Practice (% of most positive responses)	67%

#### FOR MORE INFORMATION

More information about the School Progress Reports, including the User Guide, Public Business Rules, and FAQ, is available at philasd.org/spr.

### **NOTES ABOUT ROUNDING**

All calculated values in the SPR (metric scores, percent of points earned, and points earned) are calculated using unrounded values; however, displayed values may differ slightly due to rounding for publication purposes.

### UNDERSTANDING DUAL REPORTS

Schools that serve multiple grade spans receive separate SPRs for each grade span (for example, a school serving grades 6-12 receives a Middle School Report and a High School Report). The grades included in a particular report are displayed on the top right of the cover page.